BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	CASE NO. PAC-E-24-04
OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR)	
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES)	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
AND CHARGES IN IDAHO AND)	MARIYA V. COLEMAN
APPROVAL OF PROPOSED)	REDACTED
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULES AND)	
RECULATIONS)	

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

CASE NO. PAC-E-24-04

1 I. INTRODUCTION AND WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS

- 2 Q. Please state your name, business address, and present
- 3 position with PacifiCorp d/b/a Rocky Mountain Power
- 4 ("PacifiCorp" or the "Company").
- 5 A. My name is Mariya V. Coleman. My business address is 2755
- 6 E Cottonwood Parkway, Salt Lake City, Utah 84121. I am
- 7 currently the Vice President of Corporate Insurance and
- 8 Claims for Berkshire Hathaway Energy Company ("BHE"),
- 9 PacifiCorp's parent company.
- 10 Q. Please describe your education and professional
- 11 experience.
- 12 A. I joined NV Energy as a Risk Analyst in 2010 and worked in
- roles of increasing responsibility in corporate insurance
- through 2017. Since 2015, I have managed PacifiCorp's
- insurance costs and insurance personnel. In 2017, I was
- named the Director of Corporate Insurance for BHE and its
- subsidiaries, including PacifiCorp. I assumed my current
- 18 role as Vice President of Corporate Insurance and Claims
- in May 2023. I have a Bachelor of Science in Finance from
- 20 University of Nevada, Las Vegas and a Master of Business
- 21 Administration from the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.
- 22 Q. What are your primary responsibilities as Vice President
- of Corporate Insurance and Claims for the Company?
- 24 A. As Vice President of Corporate Insurance and Claims, I am
- 25 responsible for the corporate insurance function for BHE

- and the Company, including the acquisition and management
- of all corporate insurance programs covering \$132 billion
- 3 in assets.
- 4 Q. Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?
- 5 A. Yes. I have testified in regulatory proceedings in Utah,
- 6 Oregon, Washington, Wyoming, and Nevada.
- 7 II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
- 8 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this case?
- 9 A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide support
- 10 for the Company's estimated insurance premiums to be
- 11 collected through the proposed surcharge as detailed in
- 12 the testimony of Company witness Joelle R. Steward, with
- the Company seeking an effective date of January 1, 2025,
- for the proposed rate adjustment.
- 15 Q. Please summarize your testimony.
- 16 A. My testimony provides an overview of excess liability
- 17 insurance and how wildfire liability risk has impacted the
- 18 commercial insurance markets causing a recent increase in
- 19 the premiums for available excess liability insurance
- 20 coverage. My testimony further addresses the critical need
- 21 for obtaining excess liability insurance to cover third-
- 22 party claims and the factors contributing to the recent
- surge in commercial premiums for such insurance within the
- 24 commercial markets.

TTT		\sim	INSURANCE	
	CVPRVIPW	() H	INSHRANCE.	PRUGRAMS

2	Ο.	What	types	of	commercial	insurance	does	PacifiCorr

- 3 maintain?
- 4 A. PacifiCorp maintains a number of types of insurances,
- 5 including, but not limited to the following categories:
- 6 Excess Liability
- 7 A claims-made policy form that provides coverage for legal
- 8 liability to third parties arising out of bodily injury
- 9 and property damage losses suffered by those third
- 10 parties.
- 11 Punitive Damages
- 12 Provides indemnity-only excess liability coverage for
- punitive damages imposed or awarded against the insured
- under certain circumstances specified in the policy.
- 15 Onshore Property
- 16 Covers all risks of physical loss or damage to operating
- 17 locations (i.e., fire, earthquake, flood, theft, boiler
- and machinery breakdown, turbine generator breakdown).
- 19 This coverage includes peripheral coverages such as
- 20 business interruption at select BHE Renewables sites,
- increased cost of construction, incidental transit,
- 22 service interruption, debris removal, accounts
- 23 receivable, and firefighting equipment.
- 24 Terrorism
- 25 Provides sabotage and terrorism coverage with respect
- to property insured under BHE's onshore property
- 27 program. Terrorism coverage applies to certified and
- 28 non-certified acts.
- 29 Inland Transit and Storage
- 30 Coverage is included for BHE transits of turbine rotors,
- 31 generators, combustion components, exciters, and similar
- 32 machinery and equipment. Allocation is based on the values
- of the property shipped.
- 34 Wind and Solar Equipment Storage
- 35 Provides property coverage for wind and solar equipment
- in storage for MidAmerican Energy, BHE Renewables, and
- 37 PacifiCorp projects. Allocation is based on the values of

17		Aviation and Unmanned Aircraft Systems Provides liability for bodily injury and property damage
17 18		Provides liability for bodily injury and property damage to third parties arising out of the use of owned and non-
19		owned aircraft. The policy also includes physical damage
20		loss to aircraft as well as war and terrorism and sabotage
21 22		buyback. Purchases for both liability and physical
23		damage. Each aircraft is individually rated, and charges are sent to the business which owns the aircraft.
24		Occurrence Liability Fronting Policy
25		Occurrence Liability Fronting Policy Allows BHE to have insurance certificates issued for
26		contracts that require an occurrence-based commercial
27		general liability policy form.
28		Surety Bonds
29		Used for contractual obligations of BHE businesses where
30		that business is required to have a surety company
31		financially guarantee to an obligee that the BHE business
32		will act in accordance with the terms established in the
33		bond. All businesses pay their own individual bond premium.
34	Q.	Please explain how PacifiCorp's liability insurance is
35		structured in current rates.

The Company has included insurance premium costs in prior

Idaho general rate cases. Currently, PacifiCorp's rates

36

37

Α.

Coleman, Di 4 Rocky Mountain Power

- 1 reflect premiums for commercial insurance that provide
- 2 third-party liability coverage for claims exceeding
- 3 \$10 million, while the Company self-insures for lesser
- 4 claims up to \$10 million.
- 5 Q. Please describe how PacifiCorp procures commercial excess
- 6 liability insurance.
- 7 A. PacifiCorp's excess liability insurance is purchased as
- 8 part of BHE's aggregated insurance purchase, which allows
- 9 PacifiCorp to leverage BHE's size and expertise, and share
- 10 costs of coverage with other subsidiaries. In 2023,
- 11 PacifiCorp purchased some insurance on its own behalf
- incremental to the BHE-wide purchase. Excess liability
- insurance includes the following major areas of coverage:
- 14 general liability, wildfire liability, auto liability, and
- employer's liability. Claims for damages to third-parties
- are included within excess liability coverage.
- 17 Q. How are the excess liability premiums allocated to
- 18 PacifiCorp?
- 19 A. PacifiCorp's excess liability premiums are allocated
- 20 through BHE's corporate allocation. BHE's corporate
- 21 allocation calculates an average percentage of property,
- 22 plant and equipment, employee count, loss history, overhead
- 23 electric transmission and distribution lines, and
- transmission and distribution pipeline miles.

- $1\,$ Q. What are the costs associated with excess liability
- insurance included in this case?
- 3 A. As explained in the testimony of Company witness Shelley
- 4 E. McCoy, the Company proposes an excess liability
- insurance premium amount of \$9.8 million (Idaho-allocated)
- 6 to be recovered through a separate surcharge effective
- January 1, 2025. This amount reflects the Company's
- 8 estimate of excess liability premiums for the 2024 test
- 9 period.
- 10 Q. Is the estimate of excess liability insurance premium costs
- 11 based on the most recent premiums issued to the Company?
- 12 A. Yes. The premiums for excess liability presented in this
- 13 proceeding are derived from the most recent renewal of its
- 14 commercial insurance policies in August 2023, with a
- projected 50 percent increase applied for the 2024 test
- 16 period. My testimony will provide a rationale why the
- 17 Company's estimate of excess liability premiums for the
- 18 test period is appropriate.
- 19 IV. EXCESS LIABILITY INSURANCE PREMIUMS
- 20 Q. Why is it necessary for PacifiCorp to have sufficient
- 21 excess liability coverage to continue providing low-cost
- 22 electric service in Idaho?
- 23 A. Maintaining insurance is a necessary part of operating a
- 24 utility and managing the risks associated with that
- 25 business. Excess liability insurance protects the Company

- and customers against financial losses from third-party
- 2 claims in Idaho and other states in which the Company
- 3 provides utility service. However, wildfire risk for
- 4 utilities in the western United States ("U.S.") has
- 5 radically changed in the past few years, and the premiums
- for available commercial excess liability insurance have
- 7 significantly increased.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{0}}$ Q. Can you explain the timing of the Company's excess
- 9 liability insurance policy renewal?
- 10 A. Typically, the Company executes renewals of insurance
- 11 policies in August of each year but will purchase insurance
- off-cycle if a market opportunity to purchase insurance
- arises. The Company can also change the renewal date if it
- 14 believes that moving the renewal date may help with
- marketing efforts.
- 16 Q. What is the amount of excess liability premiums the Company
- 17 is forecasting for the test period in this case?
- 18 A. The Company is forecasting that excess liability premiums
- for the test period will be \$183.9 million total company,
- that will be effective August 15, 2024.
- 21 Q. How did the Company develop this forecast?
- 22 A. Recent trends, including a compounded, annual program-wide
- increase of at least 25 percent in 2024 and 2025, informs
- 24 an expected 50 percent increase over current costs.
- 25 Accordingly, the premiums are estimated to be approximately

REDACTED

1	\$183.9 million, which translates to approximately
2	\$9.8 million on a Idaho allocated basis.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	However, excess liability
12	premiums for the test period are currently the Company's
13	best estimates based on currently available information.
14	As better information becomes available throughout the
15	proceeding, the Company will provide further updates to
16	the estimated amounts as necessary. In particular, the
17	Company proposes to update the forecasted excess liability
18	premiums amounts in rebuttal testimony.
19 Q.	Does the Company have a proposal for how the costs related
20	to excess liability insurance would be recovered?
21 A.	Yes. As discussed in detail in the direct testimony of
22	Company witness Steward, the Company's proposal is to
23	create a dedicated surcharge, Electric Service Schedule
24	No. 92 - Insurance Cost Adjustment, to recover costs
25	related to excess liability insurance. Because of the
	Coleman, Di 8 Rocky Mountain Power

- 1 growing cost of commercial excess liability insurance
- 2 premiums and restrictions on coverage levels, the Company
- 3 is exploring the creation of a new insurance mechanism that
- 4 would incorporate self-insurance for wildfire coverage.
- 5 Company witness Steward discusses this concept and process
- 6 in more detail.

7 Q. What has caused the excess liability premium increase?

- 8 A. Wildfires across the western U.S. have resulted in
- 9 significantly increasing wildfire costs and an inability
- 10 to acquire insurance at rates and coverage levels that have
- been consistent with past premiums. Insurers have increased
- 12 the price at which they will consider selling insurance
- 13 covering claims from wildfire liability. Additionally,
- insurers who historically would consider selling wildfire
- 15 liability will no longer do so. Replacement insurers are
- selling wildfire insurance at a much higher price than
- 17 those that historically insured for wildfire risk. Excess
- 18 liability insurance premium costs in 2023 are 3.7 times
- the Company's 2022 insurance premiums. 2023 premiums are
- 20 18 times higher than 2019 premiums for comparable insurance
- 21 coverage.
- 22 Q. Have other electric utilities also seen an increase in
- their excess liability premiums?
- 24 A. Yes. As explained in the direct testimony of Company
- 25 witness Frank Graves, several other utilities in the west

4	1						
I	h 2770	α 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\alpha v v \alpha v v \alpha v \alpha \alpha$	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	7 10	$\alpha \wedge \alpha + \alpha$	2000012500
	Have:	5111111111	experienced	1110160565	111	ししつしつ	associated

- with their excess liability premiums. Insurers are
- 3 increasingly reluctant to provide coverage for wildfire
- 4 risks due to the growing frequency and severity of these
- 5 events, coupled with the challenges of extreme weather. As
- a result, many insurers are no longer selling wildfire
- 7 liability and many others have offered less coverage and/or
- 8 have increased the prices of their premiums.
- 9 Q. What are the industry impacts to the excess liability
- 10 premiums?
- 11 A. As previously discussed, because the wildfire risk for
- 12 utilities in the Western U.S. has radically changed in the
- past few years, the premiums for available commercial
- 14 liability insurance have significantly increased.
- 15 Q. Do you believe that commercial premiums for excess
- 16 liability will continue to increase?
- 17 A. Yes. The Company views the premium increases encountered
- 18 since 2019 as a sign of the continued elevated expenses it
- 19 anticipates for future excess liability coverage. This
- 20 expectation is due to the ongoing challenges related to
- 21 wildfire insurance further discussed in the testimony of
- 22 Company witness Graves.
- 23 O. How are liabilities associated with wildfires covered under
- the prior and current commercial insurance policies?
- 25 A. The total amount of insurance per occurrence is

\$458.25 million with varying sub-limits for occurrences between states. Claims in any state use up the total amount of the limit available for all states. This means that if there is a claim in one state, then there is less insurance available for the next claim in any other state. Liabilities prior to this renewal were covered similarly to how they are after the August 15, 2023, renewal with an increase in the amount of cumulative, shared insurance limit as reflected below:

Table 1: Shared Insurance Limits

	, 2022 - August 4, 2023		2023 - August 4, 2024	
State	Shared Total	State Shared Total		
	Limit		Limit	
CA	\$110m	CA	\$344.75m	
ID, UT,	\$232.5m	ID, UT,	\$458.25m	
WY		WY		
OR, WA	\$188m	WA	\$363.25m	
		OR	\$348.25m	

Most policies are issued with a single cost for all states, with just a few outliers insuring just California or Oregon, separately. Without purchasing additional insurance products for each individual state, at an incremental cost, insurers will not differentiate how much risk is allocated by state any further than reflected in the statement above.

1 Q. How do insurers handle coverage for PacifiCorp's mult
--

2 states?

15

- 3 A. Insurers impose sub-limits within a policy to differentiate
- 4 risks between various states. These sub-limits allow
- 5 PacifiCorp to insure the entire system at lower cost for
- 6 our customers.

7 Q. How did the Company determine the level of reasonable

- 8 liability insurance coverage?
- 9 A. The Company evaluated wildfire claims results from the
- 10 Western U.S. and purchased available insurance limits that
- 11 were offered by the market. Liabilities can exceed the
- 12 current insurance coverage limits that were purchased in
- the event of a catastrophic wildfire.

14 Q. Why is it reasonable and prudent for these insurance

- premium costs to be included in Idaho rates?
- 16 A. Maintaining insurance is a necessary part of operating a
- 17 utility and the risks associated with that business.
- 18 Utilities maintain insurance at different levels when
- 19 compared to other industries in order to avoid the
- volatility of claims on customer rates, especially in an
- 21 environment when the utility does not directly control the
- 22 pricing of the service it provides.
- 23 Idaho customers have benefitted materially from
- 24 excess liability insurance coverage including recovery of
- over \$450 million system-wide since 2010, which offsets

- 1 claims paid by PacifiCorp. These insurance recoveries
- 2 directly reduce the cost of claims paid, providing
- 3 financial stability for both the Company and its customers.
- 4 V. RECOVERY OF INSURANCE DEFERRAL
- 5 Q. Is the Company requesting the recovery of liability
- 6 insurance premiums in this case?
- 7 A. Yes. As explained in the testimony of Company witness
- 8 McCoy:
- 9 The projected Idaho deferral balance is
- 10 estimated at \$7.8 million, which results in
- amortization expense of \$2.6 million over a
- 12 three-year period beginning with the
- implementation of Schedule 92.1
- $14\,$ Q. Were these amounts prudently incurred and should they be
- 15 recovered in rates?
- 16 A. Yes. Excess liability insurance constitutes a prudent
- business expenditure that safeguards both the Company and
- its customers from financial setbacks arising from third-
- 19 party claims. In fact, PacifiCorp's currently approved
- 20 rates include expenses related to excess liability
- insurance premiums. Although the premiums for commercial
- insurance have escalated for electric utilities since the
- 23 Company's previous general rate case, these costs remain a
- 24 prudent expense and ought to be included in rates.

¹ Direct testimony of Company witness McCoy at p. 18.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 2 A. Yes.